

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,674	07/21/2003	Steven D. Burch	GP-303298 5067			
75	7590 04/22/2005			EXAMINER		
CARY W. BR	CARY W. BROOKS			AUSTIN, MELISSA J		
General Motors	Corporation					
Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O. Box 300			1745			
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		1,
Application No.	Applicant(s)	- In
10/623,674	BURCH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Melissa Austin	1745	

Before the Finny of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melissa Austin	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this 7 no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig in than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Officte of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since		
AMENDMENTS					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		/I ⊂ Delow),			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		educina or simplifyina	the issues for		
appeal; and/or		, , , , , ,			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:	•		~		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12,15-18,20-22,24-30 as presented</u>	<u>in Final Rejection 2/9/05; Claim 7 c</u>	bjection withdrawn.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal.	overcome all rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
13. Other:					
,	MARK RUTHKOSKY PRIMARY EXAMINER	MJ Kithin			
		HL * 1 A 10 *			

11/191